PCC Received July 8, 1946 @ 1:14 p.m.

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

In Re Applications of:

RAINBOW BROADCASTING

COMPANY

For an Extension of Time to

Construct,

and

For an Assignment of its Construction Permit for Station WRBW(TV), Orlando, Florida

GC Docket No. 95-172

File No. BMPCT-910625KP File No. BPMCT-910125KE File No. BTCCT-911129KT

RECEIVED

'JUL 1 1 1996

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

Volume:

7

Pages:

707 through 941

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

June 27, 1996

# HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters
1220 L Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, D.C.
(202) 628-4888

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of:

(Company)

(Company)

(Construct,

(Construct)

(Construction Permit for Station WRBW(TV),

(Correct RAINBOW BROADCASTING)

(Construct (Construct)

(Construction Permit for Station WRBW(TV),

(Correct (Construct)

(Construction Permit for (Construct)

(Constr

Suite 201 FCC Building 2000 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Thursday, June 27, 1996

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: HON. JOSEPH CHACHKIN

Administrative Law Judge

#### APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Federal Communication Commission:

DAVID SILBERMAN, ESQUIRE STEWART BLOCK, ESQ. Separate Trial Staff Federal Communication Commission 1919 M Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20554 (202) 418-1740

APPEARANCES: (Continued)

#### On Behalf of Rainbow Broadcasting Company:

BRUCE EISEN, ESQUIRE Kay, Scholer, Fierman, Hayes & Handler, LLP 901 Fifteenth Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 682-3500

#### On Behalf of Rainbow Broadcasting, Ltd.:

MARGOT POLIVY, ESQUIRE KATRINA RENOUF, ESQUIRE Renouf & Polivy 1523 Sixteenth Street, Northwest Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 265-1807

#### On Behalf of Press Broadcasting Co., Inc.:

HARRY F. COLE, ESQUIRE ANN C. FARHAT, ESQUIRE Bechtel & Cole, Chartered 1901 L Street, Northwest, Suite 250 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 833-4190

## $\underline{I}$ $\underline{N}$ $\underline{D}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{X}$

WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	VOIR DIRE
Joseph Rey	710 758	759 898			795 848 920

## <u>E X H I B I T S</u>

		-		<del></del>	
D. Call		IDE	NTIFIE	D RECEIVED	REJECTED
Rainbow:					
8			723	724	
6		(	Prev.)	739	
7		(	Prev.)	737	
<u>Press</u> :					
8			768		774
9			775	815	
10			787	816	
11			809		814
12			810		814
13			820	894	
14			832	844	
15			834	(Withdrawn)	
16			836	845	
17			846	895	
18			879	896	
Hearing Began: Recess Began: Recess Began: Recess Began:	10:14 11:46	a.m. a.m.		Recess Ended: 10 Recess Ended: 1	:24 a.m.

Recess Began: 2:45 p.m. Recess Ended: 2:55 p.m.

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: On the record.
3	Mr. Eisen, are you ready to proceed with your next
4	witness?
5	MR. EISEN: Yes, Your Honor.
6	Mr. Joseph Rey.
7	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right, Mr. Rey.
8	Whereupon,
9	JOSEPH REY
10	having been first duly sworn, was called as a
11	witness herein and was examined and testified as follows:
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. EISEN:
14	Q For the record, would you state your name and your
15	present address?
16	A Joseph Rey. Orlando, Florida.
17	Q And, Mr. Rey, how are you presently employed?
18	A I am general manager of WRBW(TV) in Orlando. I am
19	also president of Rainbow Broadcasting Co., Inc., the
20	general partner of Rainbow Broadcasting, Limited.
21	Q Are you familiar with an entity know as Rainbow
22	Broadcasting Company?
23	A Yes, I am.
24	Q Do you know whether or not Rainbow Broadcasting
25	Company still exists?

- 1 A I don't think it does.
- 2 Q Do you know what form of business entity Rainbow
- 3 Broadcasting Company was?
- 4 A Rainbow Broadcasting Company was a general
- 5 partnership.
- 6 Q And can you please describe what the ownership of
- 7 Rainbow Broadcasting Company was?
- A At the beginning it was myself, 85 percent
- 9 interest; my mother, a 5 percent interest; and Leticia
- 10 Jaramillo, 10 percent interest.
- 11 Q Was there ever a change in that ownership
- 12 interest?
- 13 A Yes, there was.
- I don't recall the exact date, five six years
- ago my mother transferred her 5 percent interest to me.
- 16 Q So can you tell us what the ownership of Rainbow
- 17 Broadcasting Company was as of June 1990?
- 18 A I think my mother had not transferred to me yet.
- 19 If that's the case, then I was 85 percent --
- 20 Q I'm sorry.
- 21 June of 1993.
- 22 A June of 1993, I held 90 percent and Leticia
- Jaramillo held 10 percent interest.
- Q Was Rainbow Broadcasting Company ever an applicant
- 25 before the FCC?

1 A Yes, it was.
------------------

- 2 O And can you tell us what it was an applicant for?
- A Rainbow Broadcasting Company applied for a
- 4 construction permit on Channel 65 out of Orlando, Florida,
- 5 in 1982.
- 6 Q Do you know whether or not the FCC ever issued a
- 7 construction permit to Rainbow Broadcasting Company?
- 8 A Yes, it did.
- 9 Rainbow Broadcasting Company received the actual
- 10 construction permit, the papers, in spring of 1986.
- 11 Received the actual paper. I think the award was after the
- 12 Review Board, 1984, I believe.
- 13 Q Did Rainbow Broadcasting Company continue to have
- a construction permit in the spring of 1986 through 1990?
- 15 A There was a period of time that Rainbow
- Broadcasting Company did not have a construction permit. I
- 17 believe the sequence is the Commission affirmed the Review
- 18 Board, losing applicants in the comparative proceeding,
- appealed it to the Court of Appeals here in Washington. It
- was briefed, but before oral argument the Commission asked
- 21 for a remand. It was remanded, and then the permit, I
- think, was vacated is the terminology.
- It was then reissued sometime later, I think like
- 24 nine months or so that it was vacated. And then thereafter
- it's had a construction permit.

- MR. COLE: Objection, Your Honor, to the use of
- the term "vacated," the characterization of the term
- 3 "vacated."
- The parties have stipulated at Joint Exhibit No.
- 5 1, Stipulation No. 6, that between November '86 and February
- 6 '88 RBC's construction permit was held in abeyance pending
- 7 the outcome of the Commission's review of its minority
- 8 ownership policies.
- 9 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, the stipulation will
- 10 control.
- MR. COLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- MR. EISEN: But understand, Your Honor, this is
- just this witness's understanding.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: I understand, but I just want to
- 15 make sure for purposes of the record this stipulation --
- 16 MR. EISEN: Yes, of course it has control.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
- BY MR. EISEN:
- 19 Q Did there come a time when Rainbow Broadcasting
- 20 Company filed a request for an extension of its construction
- 21 permit?
- 22 A Yes.
- In 1988, in the spring of 1988, the Commission
- 24 canceled the permit for lack of construction. Rainbow
- 25 Broadcasting Company informed the Commission that the

- 1 comparative hearing was still in litigation in the Court of
- 2 Appeals, and the construction permit was reinstated, and
- 3 even though we argued that the two years for construction
- 4 should be held until final grant, we were told -- I believe
- 5 the fellow's name was Gordon Oppenheimer or something to
- 6 that effect -- that we should file extension every six
- 7 months until litigation ended, and then we will get our two
- 8 years.
- 9 Q Do you recall how many extension requests Rainbow
- 10 Broadcasting Company had filed in all?
- 11 A From '88 to '90 Supreme Court, that should be
- 12 four of them. And then there was a fifth and a sixth filed
- 13 thereafter.
- MR. EISEN: Your Honor, we have two Joint Hearing
- Exhibits, Joint Exhibits 3 and 4, and I would like to
- 16 provide a copy of the joint exhibits to the witness.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: Go ahead.
- BY MR. EISEN:
- 19 Q Would you please review for a moment Joint Hearing
- 20 Exhibits 2 and 3, Mr. Rey?
- And what I am going to ask you is what do those
- 22 two exhibits comprise?
- 23 A Exhibit 2 is an application for extension of
- 24 broadcast construction permit dated by my signature, January
- 25 22, 1991; by the stamp in the top, January 25, 1991. And it

- has an exhibit, three-page exhibit attached to it.
- 2 O What about Exhibit 3, what is that?
- A Again, an application for extension of broadcast
- 4 construction permit by my signature dated June 22, 1991; by
- 5 the stamp on the top, June 25, 1991. And it has an exhibit
- 6 comprised of three pages.
- 7 Q To your knowledge, were those two exhibits filed
- 8 with the FCC?
- 9 A Yes, they were as far as I'm concerned.
- 10 Q Do you know whether or not any person or any
- entity opposed the grant of those extension requests?
- 12 A Yes, I think both of them were informally opposed
- 13 by Press Broadcasting Company.
- 14 Q And did there come a time when the FCC acted on
- the sixth extension request, Exhibit No. 3?
- 16 A Yes, some two years later, in -- I call it August
- 17 1st of 1993. That date might not be totally accurate. It
- may be July 31 or August 2, but something August 1st of
- 19 1993.
- Q What was the action that the Commission took, if
- 21 you recall?
- 22 A It reinstated the construction permit and gave it
- an eight or nine-month extension.
- Q Had the Commission taken any action earlier with
- regard to the sixth extension request?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And when was that? Do you know?
- 3 A June of 1993, to the best of my recollection, the
- 4 Commission canceled the construction permit.
- 5 Q Did it deny the application?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q How did you learn that the application had in fact
- 8 been denied?
- 9 A Through communication with RBC's counsel, Ms.
- 10 Margot Polivy.
- 11 Q And can you recall when you had the communication
- 12 with counsel?
- A Not exactly when, but it was June of 1993.
- 14 Q And can you recall how the contact was made?
- Was it in person or by telephone?
- 16 A No, it was by telephone.
- 17 Q Can you describe the discussion that you and Ms.
- Polivy had at the time you learned that the application had
- 19 been denied?
- 20 A Well, I was dumbfounded. Ms. Polivy was irate.
- 21 She didn't believe that there was any reason for canceling
- the permit or denying the application.
- 23 Q Did she make any recommendation to you with regard
- 24 to what RBC should do?
- 25 A We discussed filing for reconsideration. She told

- 1 me that that was the mechanism, the next mechanism to be
- 2 used.
- 3 Q Would you please, in the Joint Hearing Exhibits,
- 4 turn to Joint Hearing Exhibit No. 4?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Have you ever seen that document before?
- 7 A I don't believe so.
- 8 Q Did you have any information that that document
- 9 existed at anytime prior to July 1, 1993?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Do you recall ever having a discussion with Margot
- Polivy about that document before July 1, 1993?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q In the discussion with Ms. Polivy where you first
- learned about the denial of the extension request, did she
- tell you whether or not she intended to contact any person
- 17 about the denial?
- 18 A No.
- 19 Q Did she at anytime in June or July of 1993 tell
- you that she had talked with Antionette Cook Bush about the
- 21 RBC denial?
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q In June of 1993, were you familiar with Antoinette
- 24 Cook Bush?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Can you describe your relationship with her?
- 2 A Friends.
- 3 Q In June of 1993, did you know how Ms. Bush was
- 4 employed?
- 5 A I believe she worked in the U.S. Senate.
- 6 Q Can you tell me how you learned that fact?
- 7 A Probably from Toni herself.
- 8 Q Did you at anytime personally contact Ms. Bush
- 9 about the extension denial?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q To your knowledge, did Ms. Jaramillo ever contact
- Ms. Bush about the extension denial?
- 13 A No.
- 14 Q Did there ever come a time where you learned that
- Ms. Bush had contacted the FCC to inquire about the RBC
- 16 extension denial?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q When was that, if you recall?
- 19 A Press Broadcasting filed allegations of an
- improper meeting, and she was part of those allegations.
- 21 That was some time in second half of '93.
- Q How did you learn that fact?
- A Most likely from counsel, Margot Polivy.
- Q Did you see these pleadings before July 1, 1993?
- 25 A Which pleadings?

- 1 Q The pleadings that you just mentioned from which
- 2 you learned that Press had filed --
- A No, this was after.
- 4 August September of '93, something like that.
- 5 October, possibly. It was after.
- 6 Q After Ms. Polivy told you that the extension
- 7 request had been denied, can you recall what happened next?
- 8 A Well, in that conversation regarding the denial of
- 9 the application, the permit, we spoke about the
- 10 reconsideration. She was irate we didn't get our two years
- 11 to construct. We had spent dollars towards construction.
- 12 Q All right.
- 13 A I think I got a call thereafter that conversation,
- and excuse me for rambling, but I am trying to put it all in
- 15 perspective historically.
- I got a call and I was asked to attend a meeting
- in Washington. The call came one, two, three days prior to
- 18 the meeting, a very short notice.
- 19 Q Who made the call?
- 20 A Ms. Margot Polivy.
- 21 Q At that time did Ms. Polivy tell you how the
- 22 meeting had been arranged?
- A I don't think. My recollection is that she got
- scheduled a meeting at the FCC. I don't know how it was
- 25 arranged. I assumed that she called and made an

- 1 appointment.
- 2 O Did she tell you that?
- A I don't have specific recollection. I think so.
- 4 Q Do you recall whether she told you who she had
- 5 contacted to set up the meeting?
- A I don't have a specific recollection other than
- 7 we're going to Mr. Roy Stewart's office for a meeting.
- Q Did you in fact come to Washington to attend the
- 9 meeting?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q And did you attend the meeting?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- 13 Q Can you recall who was present at the meeting?
- A Roy Stewart; Mr. Robert Ratcliffe; Margot Polivy;
- myself; Mr. Clay Pendarvis; Mr. Paul Gordon; and Ms. Barbara
- 16 Kreisman.
- 17 Q Had you ever met any of those individuals before
- 18 the meeting?
- 19 A No, I had not, other than Margot Polivy,
- 20 obviously.
- 21 Q And to the best of your recollection, what was the
- 22 date of the meeting?
- A I have heard a date of July 1st. I will accept
- 24 that date, 1993.
- 25 Q Had you personally ever contacted any of those

- 1 individuals other than Ms. Polivy before?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Can you recall what was discussed during the
- 4 meeting?
- 5 A The meeting began by Ms. Polivy handing everyone
- in the room a two or three-page chronology of all of the
- 7 RBC's applications from its inception. And then she went on
- 8 to explain how Rainbow had not had its two years to
- 9 construct; how Rainbow had expended, oh, I don't know, close
- to a million dollars to date; that sort of a thing.
- 11 Q Did you personally speak at all during the
- 12 meeting?
- 13 A I believe towards the end of the meeting I asked
- Mr. Roy Stewart how come Rainbow had not received its two
- 15 years to construct after final grant.
- 16 Q Did Mr. Stewart respond to your question?
- 17 A He -- there was a long pause, I recall, and he
- eventually said, "Well, you can address that in
- 19 reconsideration."
- 20 Q Did anyone at the meeting mention the fact that
- 21 Press Broadcasting Company had opposed Rainbow's
- 22 applications?
- 23 A Yes, it was discussed in the chronology. It was
- 24 mentioned several times.
- Q Can you recall specifically what was said?

- A No, the informal objections were referenced. I
- don't have specific recollection of the argument.
- 3 Q And were any recommendations made to Rainbow as a
- 4 result of the meeting by the staff?
- 5 A That Rainbow should file a petition for
- 6 reconsideration, and address everything that Margot had
- 7 mentioned in terms of two years to construction and dollars
- 8 spent, et cetera.
- 9 MR. EISEN: Your Honor, if I may, I would like to
- mark for identification Rainbow Broadcasting Exhibit No. 8,
- and I would like to distribute two copies to the court
- 12 reporter, one to the Separate Trial Staff.
- MR. SILBERMAN: Thank you.
- MR. EISEN: One to Mr. Cole.
- 15 And I would describe the document as a 22-page
- 16 document entitled "Petition for Reconsideration in
- 17 Reinstatement and Grant of Application for Assignment of
- 18 Construction Permit." It includes a number of attachments.
- 19 It is signed at page 12 by Margot Polivy of Renouf & Polivy
- 20 as counsel for Rainbow Broadcasting Company. It also
- includes a statement of Joseph Rey, and other appendices as
- 22 I had mentioned.
- I would like to show the witness a copy of the
- 24 document.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: The document described will be

1	marked for identification as Rainbow Exhibit 8.
2	(The document referred to was
3	marked for identification as
4	Rainbow Exhibit No. 8.)
5	BY MR. EISEN:
6	Q Mr. Rey, have you ever seen that document before?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you have anything to do with the preparation
9	of that document?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Can you tell me what you did with regard to the
12	document?
13	A After that meeting in Mr. Stewart's office on that
14	day, I went back to the offices of Ms. Margot Polivy, and I
15	worked on aspects of this petition; specifically, the
16	dollars spent which is in here somewhere; yeah, and the time
17	table to construct. Those two items come to mind
18	specifically.
19	Q Do you know whether or not that document was filed
20	with the FCC?
21	A When I left her office the document was done in
22	substance. It had not been typed or word processed, if you
23	will. I signed the statement before leaving. I believe the
24	document was faxed to me the next day for review, and it was
25	subsequently filed from my understanding.

	1	Q	Would you refer, please, to page 15 of that
	2	document,	which is entitled "Appendix A"?
***	3	А	Yes.
	4	Q	Do you know who prepared Appendix A?
	5	A	Margot Polivy, I believe.
	6	Q	Do you recall whether or not that document was in
	7	existence	at the time of the July 1, 1993, meeting?
	8	A	This is the chronology that Ms. Margot Polivy
	9	handed eve	eryone in the room on that meeting.
	10		MR. EISEN: Your Honor, at this time I would move
	11	Rainbow Ex	khibit 8 into evidence.
	12		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Any objection?
۰,	13		MR. COLE: No, Your Honor.
	14		MR. SILBERMAN: None, Your Honor.
	15		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Rainbow Exhibit 8 is received.
	16		(The document referred to,
	17		having been previously marked
	18		for identification as Rainbow
	19		Exhibit No. 8, was received in
	20		evidence.)
	21		MR. EISEN: Thank you.
	22		MR. COLE: Well, Your Honor, I have no objection
	23	with the c	aveat that it is not being offered for the truth
	24	of the mat	ters asserted in it. The offer is an aid to
	25	understand	ing cross-examination.

- MR. EISEN: It's being offered primarily for the
- 2 purpose of Appendix A, and the fact that it was distributed
- 3 at the meeting on July 1, 1993.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: It is not being offered for the
- 5 truth of the matters?
- 6 MR. EISEN: Not those things that are included in
- 7 the legal argument in the document, no.
- JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Rainbow Exhibit 8,
- 9 for the limited purposes stated, is received.
- 10 BY MR. EISEN:
- 11 Q Again with regard to the July 1, 1993, meeting,
- Mr. Rey, did anyone raise a question at that meeting about
- the meeting being improper in any way?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q Did there come a time when you learned that
- questions had been raised concerning the propriety of that
- 17 meeting?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q Can you tell me when that was?
- 20 A Again, I believe it was August September of
- 21 1993. Press Broadcasting filed some allegations of
- impropriety regarding that meeting.
- Q Did you discuss with Ms. Polivy those allegations?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Can you tell me what Ms. Polivy told you, if

- 1 anything?
- 2 A Well, she was extremely upset. She kept on
- 3 referring to how the rules make it clear to her that it was
- 4 not improper, Rule 120 something or other. I remember that
- 5 sort of conversation with her.
- 6 Q We have stipulated, Stipulation No. 11, when the
- 7 Supreme Court decision granting Rainbow Broadcasting
- 8 Company's application became final.
- 9 Do you recall when that was?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Do you recall the date?
- 12 A I believe the date is August 30, 1990.
- 13 Q Before August 30, 1990, did Rainbow Broadcasting
- 14 Company undertake any construction of its television
- 15 station?
- 16 A Physical construction, no. From the period of
- right after the Supreme Court in June 20 something, 1990,
- and August 30th, we were involved in the preconstruction
- 19 planning of the transmitter building. We also selected
- 20 equipment. That sort of thing was done in that period of
- 21 time.
- Q Would you please turn to Rainbow Exhibit 7, page
- 23 2?
- 24 A Yes.
- Q Have you seen that letter before?

- 1 A Yes, I wrote the letter.
- 2 O Did you sign the letter?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Who is Richard Edwards?
- A Richard Edwards, I don't know his exact title at
- 6 the time, but he was employed by Gannett Tower Company as an
- 7 executive. I think it was vice president of the company in
- 8 charge of their towers. They had a Miami tower and an
- 9 Orlando tower.
- 10 Q Can you describe the reason that you sent this
- 11 letter to Mr. Edwards?
- 12 A Well, again, we were planning construction of the
- building. Rick Edwards had sent me a letter in late '89, or
- January of '90 -- I don't recall the date -- in which he
- wanted -- he solicited specific information from Rainbow
- regarding dimensions of the transmitter room that we would
- 17 have needed, and mounting information of the antenna on the
- 18 tower.
- I had sent them a letter in January 30th asking
- 20 him for additional information in able for me to be able to
- 21 provide him with the answer that he needed. I don't think
- he ever responded to that January 30th letter. And this
- letter was sent basically to -- oh, there was something
- 24 else.
  - There was information regarding this -- Rick

- 1 Edwards or Gannett Tower Company was proposing a three
- transmitter room single construction. In other words, three
- 3 rooms will be constructed all at once. One for future FM
- 4 tenant, another one for a future TV tenant and one for
- 5 Rainbow.
- We had asked them for information regarding the
- 7 construction -- the contractors' bids, as well as other
- 8 information regarding tower brackets and azimuths and
- 9 whatnot, and that information had not been forthcoming.
- 10 This letter took a position that we were going to designate
- 11 according to the provisions of the lease our own contractor
- and our own engineer architect in able for us to go ahead
- and build our transmitter room.
- 14 Q Just briefly, you mentioned a lease.
- When was that lease entered into, to your
- 16 recollection?
- 17 A The lease was negotiated towards the end of 1985,
- and I believe it's dated by signature January of 1986.
- 19 Q Would you take a look at Rainbow Exhibit 6,
- 20 please, and tell me if that's the lease to which you are
- 21 referring?
- 22 A It is.
- Q In the August 10 -- I'm sorry.
- Had you finished your answer?
- 25 A I lost my train of thought.

- 1 Q Back to the August 10, 1990, letter, please, to
- 2 Mr. Edwards, page 2 of Exhibit 7.
- A = Um-hmm.
- 4 Q In the first sentence of that letter you refer to
- 5 a clear path to construct the facility.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 O What did you mean by the use of that phrase?
- 8 A Well, that phrase is anticipatory on my part at
- 9 this time. The reason for that is on the June 20 something,
- 10 1990, U.S. Supreme Court decision the decision was Justice
- Brennan's decision. He retired, I believe, the very next
- 12 day. A petition for reconsideration was filed by Metro,
- losing cross-applicant.
- I had asked a number of attorneys that I knew the
- likelihood of the U.S. Supreme Court reversing itself in
- 16 reconsideration. Nobody that I asked could tell me the last
- 17 time that they knew or were aware that the Court had
- 18 reversed itself in reconsideration.
- One of them pointed out that he felt it was highly
- 20 unlikely being that it was Justice Brennan's last decision
- 21 that his colleagues will not reverse his last decision as
- 22 well. I though the petition for reconsideration was
- 23 basically an exercise if futility on Metro's part. It was
- 24 academic, but nevertheless it was still pending.
- So this is anticipatory on my part.

1	Q Was there a reason that you were not able to begin
2	construction before August 30, 1990, the date of the Supreme
3	Court decision became final?

A Well, the deal with Mr. Howard Conant was a free and clear construction permit, so I could not draw on those funds until the permit was free and clear. So we were using the time to do a lot of preconstruction planning, but we could not construct with his loan dollars.

9 Q When you say "preconstruction planning," what did that include?

A Well, step one is the transmitter building. There is also once that is built you can actually start locating physical items in that room. There is also -- at the time we didn't know exactly how the tower was configured in terms of -- and I am stepping a little out of my arena, but whether holes for brackets were already preconstruction on the tower members high up, so you can mount the antenna and the sustaining wave guide, et cetera, spring and whatnot. There were a lot of -- those are the questions that I posed to Mr. Edwards in the January 30, 1990, letter.

But all of that is preconstruction planning.

Selecting equipment, configuring conceptually how to set up the transmitter room in terms of layout of equipment; selecting equipment for the studio side of the operation layout. There is a lot of work to be done before you can